



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/530,570	05/03/2000	RUDOLF RITTER	268771	2038

909 7590 11/27/2001

PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP
1600 TYSONS BOULEVARD
MCLEAN, VA 22102

EXAMINER

MCALLISTER, STEVEN B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2167

6

DATE MAILED: 11/27/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/530,570

Applicant(s)
Ritter

Examiner
Steven B. McAllister

Group Art Unit
2167



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Steven B. McAllister

(3) Warren Edmonds

(2) Valerie Hayes

(4) _____

Date of Interview Nov 16, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: All of record

Identification of prior art discussed:

Croughwell et al

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed validity of 102 and 103 rejections using Croughwell et al. Examiner agreed to review the reference upon receipt of written arguments, specifically with regard to the location of counter of Croughwell. If the claims rejected under 102 are not anticipated, the current rejections will not be maintained.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

SB McAllister 11/15/01

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.